

Safe and Supported: The Intersection of Psychological Safety and Fruitful Risk Practice



By By Erin Gloeckner

Resource Type: Articles, Risk eNews

Topic: HR Risk and Employment Practices, Organizational Culture

"My input isn't valued here."

"My coworkers always reject my ideas."

"I feel so stupid around my boss."

"I have to pick my battles."

"It will be safer for me if I keep my head down."

"I wanted to warn them, but I couldn't risk being ridiculed again."

"I told them it was dangerous... why didn't they believe me?"

"If I speak up again, I'll probably get fired."

If you have experienced thoughts like these, then you might need *psychological safety*. Defining the concept in her 1999 academic paper, "<u>Psychological Safety and Learning Behavior in Work Teams</u>," organizational behavioral scientist and Harvard Business School professor Amy Edmondson explained:

"Team psychological safety is defined as a shared belief that the team is safe for interpersonal risk taking...Team psychological safety is not the same as group cohesiveness, as research has shown that cohesiveness can reduce willingness to disagree and challenge others' views, such as in the phenomenon of groupthink (Janis, 1982), implying a lack of interpersonal risk taking. The term is meant to suggest...a sense of confidence that the team will not embarrass, reject, or punish someone for speaking up. This confidence stems from mutual respect and trust among team members."

As social beings, most people can acknowledge their innate need to feel accepted, appreciated, or approved of by others. A sense of belonging is potent in work settings where people might derive a sense of identity or community.

Without psychological safety at work, employees are more likely to experience frequent rejection or outcasting. In her Aeon Newsletter essay, "Rejection Kills," science writer and social entrepreneur Elitsa Dermendzhiyska references various experiments and studies that document how emotional pain can trigger activity in the same brain regions that respond to and regulate physical pain. Social rejection in any context can cause real pain to human beings, and Dermendzhiyska explores how repeated or severe rejection can potentially result in harmful coping strategies such as emotional numbing and reduced empathy towards others.

Combined with typical workplace pressures such as income generation, career success, and unyielding productivity, many workers are already slammed with stress without consciously considering social acceptance. Our needs for social acceptance and connectedness—core facets of our humanity—are rarely adequately addressed in the workplace. However, they remain some of the <u>most significant drivers</u> of physical and mental health and self-esteem.

How Psychological Safety Benefits Teams and Risk Initiatives

The freedom to express concerns and ideas without fear of retribution sounds like a basic form of respect. Yet, workplace power structures and competing priorities make psychological safety a knotty concept in many organizational cultures. Teams who value mutual respect and intentionally work towards psychological safety will realize tremendous benefits to their team's effectiveness, decision-making, engagement, wellness, and risk management capabilities.

Psychological safety enables teams to:

- <u>Perform more effectively:</u> From 2012 to 2014, Google conducted an internal study, Project Aristotle, to determine what makes a team effective. The study identified five dynamics that consistently and significantly improved the performance of Google teams based on sales and stakeholder assessments. The number one predictor of team effectiveness was <u>psychological safety</u>, followed by dependability, structure and clarity, meaning, and impact. Google researchers also learned that—at least within the context of Google—variables like co-location of teammates, individual performance, extroversion, seniority, tenure, and consensus had little to no impact on team effectiveness.
- <u>Leverage diversity of thought:</u> Widely recognized as a high-performance driver, teams that leverage their diverse perspectives and experiences are better prepared to navigate complex problems, make well-informed decisions, and produce creative solutions. In an environment lacking psychological safety, diverse thoughts are usually guarded, not shared, so everyone misses out.
- Engage authentically: Back in 1990, William Kahn's research on employee engagement found that a sense of safety (AKA a low risk of adverse consequences) was a critical predictor of an employee's willingness to engage authentically or bring their "full self" to work. If you want full engagement, full talent, full capacity, or full commitment from workers, you first need to cultivate full psychological safety.
- <u>Be well:</u> Frequent or constant worry about self-worth, social standing, job security, or the quality of workplace interpersonal relationships invites heightened stress along with physical and mental health issues. When workers worry about protecting themselves and managing high stress, they are likely to be less productive, effective, and content, or experience feelings of unfulfillment, shame, or resentment.
- Manage risk: For any team to practice effective risk management, its members must candidly report and
 evaluate their concerns, worries, observed dangers, and organizational vulnerabilities. Teams must first
 reduce the interpersonal risk of ridicule to create a space where team members can report and discuss
 risk issues. Recognize that by reporting or speaking up, an employee takes a personal risk to disclose
 information that could benefit the team, even though that employee might face personal consequences.
 Reduce the negative impacts and more team members will proactively share pertinent risk information.

To drive home the benefits of psychological safety, let's make it personal. Think back to a time you felt rejected or unappreciated at work. How did it affect you? Did it change the way you interacted with your team in the short or long term? Did it weaken your willingness to contribute? Did it tarnish your self-esteem even for a moment? Did it hurt? Now think of a time you rejected a colleague. How do you think you made them feel?

How Psychological Safety Gets Off Track

In practice, psychological safety is more nuanced than we might first realize. To better understand how people *mis*understand psychological safety, we must recognize what it is not.

Psychological safety is <u>not</u> being:

- Nice: It's easy to confuse or conflate *niceness* with creating a psychologically safe workplace. After all, when people are nice, they can't be doing any harm, right? Wrong! Being nice is not the same as fostering psychological safety, and in some cases, prioritizing niceness can undermine psychological safety. For example, sometimes people use niceness to manipulate others, conceal dishonesty, or avoid confrontation. Acting nice or abiding by social expectations of warmth and friendliness does not equate with actively creating a psychologically safe environment.
- **Avoidant:** Psychological safety does not ask us to avoid dialogue around sensitive issues. When practiced effectively, it helps us engage in productive dialogue when sensitive topics do arise. Mutual respect and tolerance allow people to explore polarizing or painful issues as a caring and interested community rather than combatants.
- **Harmful:** Going back to Amy Edmondson's description of psychological safety as enabling "interpersonal risk taking," teams must recognize that this concept does not permit individuals to take any risks they want. Effective risk-taking must still benefit the team by contributing to shared progress. Risk-taking is ineffective—and inappropriate—if individuals are using psychological safety to justify harming their teams by doing or saying whatever they want.
- **Comfortable:** Shane Snow, an award-winning journalist and co-founder of Contently <u>compares</u> <u>psychological safety to exercise</u> to explain how discomfort and growth work together. Snow notes that experts on psychological safety are careful not to confuse the concept with comfort because feeling uncomfortable is not the same as being in danger. No manager or facilitator can promise a completely comfortable environment that also allows for honesty and respectful disagreement. Psychological safety and discomfort go hand-in-hand; it's the underlying safety that ensures that experiencing discomfort can propel personal growth rather than stagnation or regression.
- <u>Coddling</u>: While psychological safety does enable vulnerability, it does not coddle people or free people of accountability to reduce the risk of hurting someone else's feelings. According to <u>LeaderFactor</u>, a Utah-based consulting practice, "When our vulnerability is punished, we recoil, retreat, and enter a defensive mode of performance. We enter a mode of self-preservation. We act out of compliance and do the minimum amount necessary to get by....When our vulnerability is rewarded, we engage, contribute meaningfully, and enter an offensive mode of performance. We give of our discretionary effort and spend our time creating value." The simple truth is that people don't respond well to being punished or socially rejected. Human behavior motivations are similar to <u>dogs</u>—punishment creates a negative effect, whereas <u>positive reinforcement</u> helps establish trust and enables the dog (or team) to behave desirably.

Cultivating Psychological Safety at Work

Knowing that psychological safety is not necessarily nice or comfortable hints at the reality that making progress can be rather difficult and deeply discomforting. Achieving psychological safety requires individual team members to disrupt biases and humbly question how they themselves might contribute to a *lack* of safety, whether intentionally or inadvertently. Courageous and caring nonprofit teams can test out these ten tactics to begin shaping a culture of psychological safety at work:

- 1. Demonstrate and earn trust: Organizations that achieve psychological safety understand the foundational need to establish trust amongst teams, especially between leaders and their reports. "Look at both leadership trust and psychological safety as two separate but related concepts," asserts Stuart Taylor, CEO of Australian resilience consultancy Springfox. "A high-trust environment will allow staff to think creatively and take risks, but psychological safety means staff understand they will not be punished if something fails or goes wrong. Psychological safety means that, in addition to trust, there is a culture of willingness to experiment, fail, and learn."To achieve psychological safety, leaders must first demonstrate that they trust their employees and peers. They must also earn the trust of those people, who will then recognize the workplace as a safe space and begin to believe in freedom to speak without fear of retribution. Gustavo Razzetti, CEO of the culture design consultancy Fearless Culture, observes that many companies enact childish rules and cultural norms that aim to control employees rather than exercise trust in their judgment or competence. Employers are often guilty of knee-jerk reactions to situations, such as restricting everyone with new rules instead of directly addressing the few employees who made bad calls. "Most companies tell people to take risks and break the rules. But, there's a lot of uncertainty about what the real consequences would be...I always advise my clients to have a 'mistake policy.' Don't just say it's okay to err. Be explicit that nothing will happen." Razzetti shares powerful examples of progressive corporate handbooks and rules that enable employee autonomy rather than employer control. The goal and the result are usually aligned: workers feel trusted and respected, so they naturally strive to use good judgment and uphold corporate values.
- 2. **Burst your bubble:** Depending on your role, your location in the corporate hierarchy, your personality,

and other factors, you might be operating within a psychological bubble of sorts—one that either purposefully protects you from social discomfort or one that inadvertently precludes you from seeing the complete picture. For example, desiring social safety can lead workers to prioritize <u>self-protection</u> over the duty to actively serve the organization's mission. On the other end of this extreme, organizational leaders might not realize the vastness of the bubble they reside in by surrounding themselves with executive teams who must balance the sometimes competing priorities of <u>supporting</u> versus <u>informing</u> their CEOs. Whatever the situation is, individual workers each have the power to peer under the curtain that clouds their capacity to promote or participate in a psychologically safe environment.

- 3. **Practice productive inquiry and advocacy:** Casual office chit-chat can seem like an excellent way for teams to build interpersonal relationships that strengthen their bond as a team. However, it can also unintentionally build walls between colleagues and foster exclusion. Encourage teams to be mindful of their water-cooler talk. The intensity and quality of conversation can either promote or diminish psychological safety and the capacity to reach informed decisions during team dialogues. By balancing advocacy and inquiry and ensuring a quality approach to both sides, team members can begin to foster shared learning.
- 4. **Rein in reactivity:** Many people have past experiences or emotional wounds that, when triggered, invite rising reactivity that might be less about the situation at hand and more about those past experiences. The human brain and body are hardwired to avoid discomfort, harm, and danger, and thus we react and reason predictably when new experiences remind us of old ones. Luckily, we are all capable of disrupting the programming of our brains and our immediate, subconscious reactions to situations we encounter. One approach that has helped many people rein in misdirected reactivity is to discover and deconstruct your personal Ladder of Inference.
- 5. **Defeat defensiveness:** Defensiveness is a particularly damaging and unproductive type of reactivity because it kicks off an arms race of emotional escalation. When we are defensive, we perceive others as obstacles, and we further anchor ourselves in a desperate need to be right. A conversation between two defensive people will look something like Dr. Seuss's famous story of The Zax, which explores the fate of two stubborn creatures who essentially stop living their lives rather than compromising on their pride. To de-escalate dialogue and defeat defensiveness, learn to identify defensive routines. Also, employ double-loop learning, a simple start to transforming defensive reasoning into productive reasoning.
- 6. **Appreciate dissent:** To gain the greatest insights from your colleagues, approach every workplace interaction with curiosity and appreciation. Rather than dismissing dissent, try appreciating and considering all input or simply ask for more evidence when a position is weakly supported. Considering a broad range of insights and possibilities will provide you with more information and agility to make the best possible decisions and contingency plans. Maintain <u>intellectual humility</u> to appreciate dissent better and honor any perspectives that challenge your own. On a related note, learn why people usually <u>shun the sentinels</u> who try to signal impending doom and vow to battle the <u>heuristics</u> and cognitive biases that might lead you to rebuke the very person trying to save you.
- 7. Make it a "we" thing: Unless the issue at hand is truly about one individual's harmful habits at work, most uncomfortable conversations reflect the dynamics developing between multiple people or larger teams. When appropriate, focus on "us" or "we" instead of "you" to make words feel less like personal attacks. Everyone involved can consider their personal participation in the problem or toxic dynamic the team is trying to address. In many cases, blame deserves to be shared, and individuals can be spared from direct criticism.
- 8. **Focus on fortune, not failure:** While it's critical to identify and acknowledge failures, heavy criticism or a deep focus on failure likely produces shame, an <u>unproductive emotion</u>. A cycle of shame yields self-hatred, poor decisions, and more misfortune. Instead, focusing on the *growth opportunities hidden within failure* is like following a treasure map to future fortune. Joyce E. A. Russell, dean, and chief executive of Villanova Business School, <u>recommends</u> we all reconceptualize failure not as something undesirable to avoid, but as the other side of the coin of success: "know that everything we do will have some elements of success and some elements of failure in it. ... Use failure as feedback. Thomas Edison said, 'I have not failed. I've just found 10,000 ways that won't work."
- 9. Remedy rejection: Were you the type of kid that stood up against the school bully? Or noticed when another kid ate alone in the cafeteria during lunch? It's never too late to act on your humanity and simply speak to—or sit with—a coworker who experienced rejection or seems lonely, outcasted, or underappreciated. Feelings of rejection might be healed with words of encouragement, invitations to provide input or collaborate on projects, or with a caring colleague simply witnessing their peer's pain. When you experience rejection at work, follow these five effective coping mechanisms and consider practicing mindfulness to regulate painful feelings better. Also, recognize that loneliness might signal deeper feelings of rejection and exclusion, so scan yourself and others for indicators of loneliness, such as engaging in negative self-talk and becoming overwhelmed or exhausted during social interactions. To

- combat loneliness at work, try <u>five tips</u> suggested by Anne Niederkorn, a writer and IT professional who overcame loneliness at a new job where she didn't quite fit in.
- 10. Seek self-awareness: Many effective avenues to promote psychological safety require individuals to possess some self-awareness and self-control. We are all at risk of managing ourselves from places of fear, control, self-protection, or ego. Acknowledging and stretching our limitations allows us to transcend these very human failings. Interestingly, if you rate yourself as skilled in self-awareness, research shows that you probably are not very self-aware. Research from the team of Tasha Eurich, organizational psychologist and executive coach, explains why people overestimate their self-awareness, fail to introspect correctly, and poorly assess their own leadership effectiveness even as they gain experience and power. If you think you're self-aware, think again, and start walking these five pathways to improve your self-awareness.

Promoting and participating in a psychologically safe environment requires effort and <u>emotional intelligence</u>. The journey to psychological safety will likely be long and arduous, challenging the identities of individual team members and requiring continuous cultural iteration. When times are tough, don't give up because those tense moments can give way to new realms of psychological safety and shared success.

Additional Resources

De Smet, A., et al. <u>Psychological Safety and the Critical Role of Leadership Development</u>. (February 11, 2021). McKinsey & Company.

Edmondson, A. C., & Mortensen, M. What Psychological Safety Looks Like in a Hybrid Workplace. (April 19, 2021). Harvard Business Review.

Erin Gloeckner is the former Director of Consulting Services at the Nonprofit Risk Management Center.